

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

A personal interview was conducted between Applicant's representative and Examiner Ernesto Garcia on November 3, 2009:

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was received dated November 3, 2009.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
2. Identification of claims discussed: 1 and 11
3. Identification of art discussed: U.S. Patent Nos. 2,560,413 (Carlsion) and 2,083,054 (Cline)
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Amendments to claims 1 and 11 to address § 112 rejections. The Examiner also suggested adding method claims to ensure that allegedly functional limitations are given patentable weight.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Applicant submitted that the cited references do not teach or suggest the screw shank bending or the through hole offsetting.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed:
7. Results of Interview: No agreement was reached

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP § 713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. Appln. No.: 10/525,705

Attorney Docket No.: Q86114

the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.